

IV EUROPEAN UNION - LATIN AMERICAN AND CARIBBEAN MINISTERIAL FORUM ON THE INFORMATION SOCIETY LISBON, PORTUGAL - APRIL, 28TH AND 29TH, 2006

Policies for the development of an Inclusive Information Society

APRITEL, Telecommunication Operators Association

President of the Board: António Coimbra

Lisbon - April 28th, 2006



Current situation presents complex challenges in the trail towards an Inclusive Information Society



Path to an inclusive Information Society: diagnostic

High level of IT illiteracy	Few households with access to PC	Low internet penetration rate	Low Broadband take-up	Lack of quality contents
 First cause for "digital divide" in some regions 	• Above 50% in EU15 ⁽²⁾	• Around 53% in EU15 households ⁽³⁾	• 12% penetration in EU15 population ⁽⁵⁾	 Focus on basic services (eg: email)
• E.g: more than 60% of Portuguese adult population has only primary or	 62% in the US and 78% in Japan⁽²⁾ 	• More than 55% and 56% in the US and in Japan ⁽⁴⁾	•17% in the US and 18% in Japan ⁽⁵⁾	 Few and poor interactive features While 64% of EU15 companies have
pre-primary education ⁽¹⁾	•41% in Portugal ⁽²⁾	•31% in Portugal ⁽³⁾	•12% in Portugal ⁽⁵⁾	their own website e-commerce stands for only 2,7% of total turnover ⁽³⁾

The telecommunication industry is key to overcome some of these challenges

Sources: ⁽¹⁾ OECD "Education at a glance 2005"; ⁽²⁾ ITU "Key ICT indicators 2004"; ⁽³⁾ Eurostat "Use of internet 2006"; ⁽⁴⁾ OECD "Key ICT indicators 2004"; ⁽⁵⁾ OECD "Broadband statistics" – 2005

APRITEL 4ForumSI 20060428e v2

Healthy competition in telecommunications requires alternative options in complementary areas



Conditions to enable competition in telecommunications



Alternative providers

Policy makers should encourage diversity of access, services and contents



Policies for the development of an Inclusive Information Society

Shared access to infrastructure (where alternatives are neither possible nor economically viable)	Build-up alternative infrastructures	No constrains on access to services and content
 Non discriminatory conditions to access ducts and to share them between several operators 	 New technologies are providing competing services in areas where traditional wired 	 Access to content is key to the development of new services
 Wholesale offers from incumbent operators that are non discriminatory and competitive in 	 telecommunications are not viable Make sure that Regulation is not biased towards any one solution 	 Make sure that vertically integrated operators to not lock-up access to content
relation to the corresponding retail offers	(technology neutrality)	 Transparent interconnection and interoperability rules

Requires Regulator Authorities to be independent, quick and effective in their interventions, without imposing an "economic burden" to the market

Countries with "good regulation" are experiencing higher investment levels



Strong correlation between Regulatory environment and investment in telecom sector

U.K. 4 3,5 Sweden Austria 3 Italv **Portugal** 2,5 Spain Denmark France 2 1,5 **Netherlands Belgium** Ireland Germany 1 0,5 0 200 250 300 350 400 450 **Regulatory Score** * Gross Fixed Capital Formation Note: The results of the scorecard to measure the relationship between the effectiveness of the regulatory environment in each of the Member States surveyed and the level of investment in telecommunications in that country, using data provided by the OECD 5 Source: ECTA-Jones Day "Regulatory Scorecard" - December 2005 APRITEL 4ForumSI 20060428e v2

Regulatory Score vs. Investment

% of GFCF*

Information Society calls policy makers to encourage competition in key telecommunication markets



Summary: Policies for the development of an Inclusive Information Society

- Current situation presents complex challenges in the trail towards an Inclusive Information Society (IIS)
- ISS depends on effective competition in key telecommunication markets
- Competition in telecommunication markets comes from being able to choose infrastructures, services and contents

Policy makers should encourage:

- Build-up of alternative infra-structures based on new technologies
- Shared access to infrastructures where alternatives are neither possible nor economically viable
 - Access to ducts
 - Access to base telecom network
- No constrains on access to services and content
- Efficient and effective Regulator Authorities working within a proven framework

Source: APRITEL, Telecommunication Operators Association

Thank you!





Note: APRITEL is a member of ECTA (European Competitive Telecoms Association)

* Observing members

APRITEL 4ForumSI 20060428e v2