Trends in Web Characteristics

João Miranda, Daniel Gomes
{joao.miranda,daniel.gomes} @ fccn.pt
http://arquivo.pt

Fundação para a Computação Científica Nacional
Foundation for National Scientific Computing

UMIC
Agência para
a Sociedade
do Conhecimento

POS_Conhecimento
Programa Operacional Sociedade do Conhecimento

União Europeia
FEDER

- Introduction

- Methodology

- Trends

- Conclusions

- The Web is a huge source of information
  - Information published exclusively on the Web
  - Information disappears
    - Only 20% of the URLs still reference a valid content after 1 year (Ntoulas, 2004)

- Preservation started by the Web Archives
  - Access for future generations
  - Enables research on finding trends (temporal dimension)

- Altavista across time

2004

- How does a crawler work?

  – Collects contents from the Web, starting from an initial set of addresses

  – Iteratively downloads contents and extracts links to find new ones

Methodology

- Previous works
  - 2003 - textual media types
  - 2005 - all media types

- Ours
  - 2008 - all media types, textual media types

Trends

- Reflect the changes in the characteristics of contents and sites:
  - Are sites larger?
  - Which media types are prevalent?
  - Has the average size of contents grown?

- Why is it useful to analyse trends?
  - Improve the processment of web data

- Efficiently partition a large data set of URLs across several crawling processes

- Average number of contents per site increased from 70 to 96

- Browsers or document viewers for cellphones

95.2%   90.1%

	Media type	% contents 2005	% contents 2008	Trend $\left(\dfrac{\% \text{ contents } 2005 - \% \text{ contents } 2008}{\% \text{ contents } 2005}\right) \times 100$
1	Text/html	61.2%	57.8%	-5.5%
2	Image/jpeg	22.6%	22.8%	+1.2%
3	Image/gif	11.4%	9.4%	-17.4%
4	Text/pdf	1.6%	1.9%	+18.5%
-	Other	3.2%	8.1%	-

- Decrease in *text/html and image/gif*
- Increase in *image/jpeg* and *text/pdf*
- Media type prevalence is more spread

	Media type	% contents 2003	% contents 2008	Trend $\left(\frac{\% \text{ contents } 2003 - \% \text{ contents } 2008}{\% \text{ contents } 2003}\right) \times 100$
1	Text/html	96.0%	93.9%	-2.1%
2	App'n/pdf	1.9%	3.0%	+57.6%
3	Text/plain	1.0%	1.6%	+58.5%
4	App'n/x-shockwave-flash	0.5%	1.2%	+115.8%
-	Other	0.7%	0.3%	-

- *Text/html* lost presence to other formats
- Increase in *app'n/pdf*, *text/plain*, *app'n/shockwave-flash*

- Estimate the storage resources required to create Web data repositories

Media type	Avg Size 2003	Avg Size 2008	Trend $\left(\dfrac{\text{Avg size 2003 - Avg size 2008}}{\text{Avg size 2003}}\right)\times100$
Text/html	21 KB	30 KB	+45.9%
App'n/pdf	207 KB	252 KB	+21.6%
Text/plain	11 KB	44 KB	+58.5%
App'n/x-shockwave-flash	44 KB	90 KB	+115.8%
...			
powerpoint	1055 KB	500 KB	-52.6%
Text/rtf	476 KB	143 KB	-70.0%

- Content size grew but for some types decreased

- Identify technological trends in Web publishing

63.3%

47.2%

2003   2008

- The percentage of URLs containing parameters increased

- Influences interaction design
- Determine adequate length for input boxes that receive URLs

- How many characters should be presented on a search engine results page

- Average URL length increased from 62 to 73 after 5 years

Conclusions

- After 5 years what were the changes?

  – URL length increased slightly but the average content size increased significantly

  – Sizes did not grow for all media types

- After 5 years what were the changes?

  – Dynamically generated contents became widely used

  – Number of contents per site increased

  – HTML, GIF or JPEG became prevalent

- Analyze evolution of metrics related to Web quality

- Measure the evolution of the Web usability and accessibility across time
  - New technologies do not imply significantly better user experience

- Testing of developed systems

- Research and development projects
  - Image search

- Lend disk space to keep backup copies
  - Just need to install rARC

- Crawl logs available for research purposes
  http://arquivo.pt

Thank you.

http://arquivo.pt